

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DPD-2823-59

#430-A

REPLY TO:

Eastern District Auditor General Comptroller, USAF Liaison Office Washington, D.C.

29 April 1959

SUBJECT: Advisory Report on Analysis of Contractor's Price Proposal

Lockheed Aircraft Corporation

Missiles and Space Division California

Contract No. AF33(600)-37230 (RT-100)

TO : Contracting Officer

REF : COR-0391 and COR-0390

- 1. In accordance with your request we have examined the accounting records and other data to determine the reasonableness of the Contractor's proposal under subject contract. Our review was limited to the prime portion of the total contract amount, and included certain additional items which were not reflected in the Contractor's proposal. Comments regarding the construction of the total price proposal appear in paragraph 3.
- 2. Results of Examination: Based on our review we believe the Contractor's proposal, generally, is reasonable for the procurement contemplated. Comments regarding specific elements of the proposal are given below.
- a. Direct Labor: The Contractor prepared its proposal on 26 August 1958, at which time the rates used in the estimate fairly represented rates of individuals already employed on the Project, or whose assignment thereto was contemplated. Examination of actual labor rates experienced to date, however, disclosed that the cumulative average rate is running somewhat below those used in the estimate. The effect of the difference in labor rates is summarized below:

Per Contractor's Proposal:

1958 -1959 -

Per Auditor:

Difference:

50X1

50X1

50X1

*Based on review of experience to date, the Contractor's estimated total of 40,000 hours appears reasonably accurate.	
b. Lease: The Contractor's proposal includes an	
estimated amount of to cover the cost of facilities, materials and services provided by under their subcontract with IMSD. In the absence of a break-down of this total, the following schedule, showing costs incurred thru 28 February 1959, indicates the nature and amounts of	50X1
expenditures being reimbursed to	50X1
Personnel services	50X1
Factory labor & overhead	
Building rental Equipment rental	
Telephone	
Teletype Aircraft rental	
Material & Supplies	
Plant rearrangement	
<u>Total</u>	
Monthly Rate of expenditure:	
Period, April - June 1958	50X1
Period, July 1958 -	
February 1959	F0V4
It is not expected that the present rate of expenditure will be sustained during the balance of the contract period.	50X1
c. Material and Equipment: The Programmer included under this	
category was originally estimated at The contractor now	50)/4
indicated that the increase of in Programmer cost may be	50X1
coverable from amounts provided for the lease and for Direct Labor costs, commented upon above.	
	50X1
d. <u>Development and Contract and Administrative Overhead:</u> Indirect expenses included in the Contractor's proposal are based on	
division-wide recorded costs at the Sunnyvale facility as set forth	50X1
below. It is the Contractor's consistant practice to apply C & A expenses on a direct labor hour basis. This method excludes subcontractor	30X1
costs from the C & A base, and explains the low amount of this type of	
expense in the Contractor's proposal.	
Per Proposal:	
Development Overhead	
1958 -	50X1
1959 –	
Contract & Administrative Overhead	
1958 -	50X1

1959 -			 	
Total Overhe	ad Pro	posed		

For security reasons, work on this Project is being performed in leased facilities which are located a considerable distance from the Contractor's plant at Sunnyvale. The method of operation is such that many indirect items of cost in relatively substantial amounts are charged direct to this contract. Under these circumstances, it is the opinion of the Auditor that the Contractor's division overhead pools should be appropriately adjusted before allocation to this Project, to prevent inequity in accumulating costs under this contract. We believe that the effect of such an adjustment should be to substantially reduce the total amount of indirect costs included in the Contractor's proposal.

Discussions on several occasions with the Contractor's accounting representative, indicate his concurrence with the Auditor's position. Costs accumulated under this contract are receiving the same accounting treatment accorded all other fixed price work. Mr. expressed concern over any attempt to deviate from its routine overhead application practice in favor of this contract, lest security be jeopordized by drawing unnecessary attention thereto. We do not feel that an attempt to arrive at an accounting determination of such indirect costs as may be applicable to this effort should be made at this time. The Contractor has indicated that it does not consider this to be a strongly controversial subject and desires that it be resolved as to dollar amount at time of price redetermination. This course is acceptable to the AF Accountant.

e. Other Material:

The Contractor has included an estimate of ______ in its proposal to provide for certain materials not included elsewhere. Based on an analysis of costs experienced to date expenditures for the period of contract performance would be indicated as follows:

Petty cash expense Optical coating lab. Truck rentals

This amount, is approximately less than the Contractor's estimate. In the Contractor's opinion the proposed amount of is still considered valid, although detailed support is not available. The difference appears to be a provision for contingent material requirements which the Contractor feels should not be disturbed due to the R and D nature of its activities. Under the circumstances, no exception is taken by the Accountant to the Contractor's estimated amount.

3. Accountant's Comments Regarding Contractor's Total Price Proposal:

Exhibit A sets forth the Contractor's total price proposal (including subcontractors) by separate elements, together with a restatement of the proposal by the AF Accountant after giving effect to certain reclafifications

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

of subcontractor's costs. The Contractor included in its presentation, as Contractor's own charges, the subcontract items shown below:		
(T&M and Facilities) Fairchild (Totalizer -P.O.#100-7) Fairchild (Programmer-P.O#22-1615)	ìXí	
<u>Total</u>		
The treatment of subcontractor amounts in a Contractor's price proposal ordinarily does not warrant attention. In this instance, however, where there is such a marked disproportion between the Contractor's own charges and the amount subcontracted, we feel that a correct statement of these items has significance with respect to the profit factor included in the proposed price. The following data are therefore furnished for the information of the Contracting Officer, as a measure of the management fee contemplated by the Contractor.		
Total Contractor's own Charges (LMSD) Total subcontract costs	50X1	
Ratio, subcontract costs to Contractor's own charges 14 to 1		
Profit factor, applicable equally to prime and subcontract costs 7.5%		
In view of the above, the local AF Accountant is in process of obtaining profit data on the prime Contractor's two main work programs, the Polaris and 117-L contracts. This additional information will be submitted to the Contracting Officer as soon as it has been developed.		
50)X1	
Liaison Officer		
Eastern District		
Auditor General		

4

EXHIBIT A

Restatement of Contractor's Proposal Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Missiles and Space Division Contract No. AF33(600)-37230

Price Proposal

